

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 9998 09/311,254 05/13/1999 JEFFREY P. LEE 10990419-1 EXAMINER 04/08/2004 7590 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY PAULA, CESAR B INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 3404 E HARMONY ROAD P.O. BOX 272400 2178 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528-9599

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)				
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for neby specified store is less than thirty (30) days, a terp sy within the salading non-information of thirty (20) days will be considered simple. The period for neby specified store is less than thirty (30) days, a terp sy within the salading the considered simple of the period of the period of the period of the period of the communication. If the period for neby specified store is less than thirty (30) days, a terp sy within the salading main and the period of the period	Office Action Summary	09/311,254	LEE ET AL.	
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eacherson of time may be available under the processors 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no erest, however, may a reply be timely filed a series of the processor o		Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Subtractions of times may be administed to the property of				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extresions or the may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed other (SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, each value of the communication	• •			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2004. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3]□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4]⊠ Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.11-14 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6]☑ Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.11-14 and 17-24 is/are rejected. 7]□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8]□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9]□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10]□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12]□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Prafesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Discoberus Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.11-14 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of Prafeperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 8) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8,11-14 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Prefspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9) Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2004.		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.11-14 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other: 9) □	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
A) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,11-14 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.11-14 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mell Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mall Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	Disposition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,11-14 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Pratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some columns have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachment(s)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	,	
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) D Notice of Informal P		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the RCE filed on 1/26/2004.

This action is made Non-Final.

- 2. In the amendment, claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-24 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 7, and 13 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejections of claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahoney(Pat.# 5,999,664, 12/7/99, filed 11/14/97), in view of Venable (Pat.# 5,995,996, 11/30/99, filed 1/30/97) have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed on 5/13/99 have been approved by the draftsperson.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-2, 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: "one processing pipelines" (claim 1, line 17). This should read "one processing pipeline". Appropriate correction is required.

Maria Landa de la companya de la com

Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

Art Unit: 2178

- 6. Claims 7-8, 11-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: "one processing pipelines" (claim 7, line 17). This should read "one processing pipeline". Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claims 13-14, 17-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: "one processing pipelines" (claim 13, line 16). This should read "one processing pipeline".

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-24 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahoney(Pat.# 5,999,664, 12/7/99, filed 11/14/97), in view of Ulead PhotoImpact 3.0 User Guide, Ulead Systems (1996, pp. 90-93, 162-173).

Regarding independent claim 1, Mahoney discloses a document analyzer for segmenting a document image into a set of layout objects—*number of regions*—and to determine a predefined document feature--*data type*-- (col. 5, lines 44-50, col.13, L.34-67, and col.24, lines 20-67, fig.9, 969).

Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

Art Unit: 2178

Moreover, Mahoney teaches a selection user interface for identifying set of features for search and further processing based upon a request by an application program—destination application-- selection request (col.8, lines 1-50, col.16, lines 47-col.17, line 67, col. 34, lines 1-67, fig.6, 9-10, 12-14). Mahoney fails to explicitly disclose: pipeline identifier identifies a processing pipeline from a plurality of processing pipelines to process the respective one of the regions based upon the predefined data type selected in the selection interface, wherein the processing pipeline is identified to process each of the regions based upon the predefined data type of each of the regions, respectively, and based upon a predetermined destination application. However, Photoimpact teaches an acquire submenu which allows for the acquiring of an image document. The document is scanned and several post-processing functions pipelines- are performed on different parts or sections of the document, such as the removal of excess blank space in the image document using an "Auto-crop" feature—pipeline-, eliminating interference patterns from a photo in the document using "Auto-remove moiré" feature pipeline-etc. After these features or functions are applied, then the Photoimpact application displays the document sent from the acquire process—combine regions processed by the at least one processing pipelines and to provide combined regions processed by at least one processing pipelines to the predetermined destination application (page 163, lines 3-30, page 165, post proc. options). However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Mahoney, and Photoimpact, and have identified one of the pipelines, because this would provide the benefit of automatically enhancing the image to correct basic image problems using powerful enhancement features (page 165, post proc. options).

All the second

Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Mahoney discloses toggle mechanisms for enabling a user to request desired features by selecting and deselecting operations (col.24, lines 50-67, fig.9, 969).

Claim 5 is directed towards a computer system for implementing the system found in claim 2, and therefore is similarly rejected.

Regarding claim 6, which depends on claim 1, Mahoney discloses default selection configuration—"none"-- for enabling a user to request desired features (col.24, lines 50-67, fig.9, 969).

Claims 7-8, 11-12, and 19-20 are directed towards a computer system for implementing the system found in claims 1-6, 1, and 1 respectively, and therefore are similarly rejected.

Claims 13-14, 17-18, and 21 are directed towards a method for implementing the system found in claims 1-2, 2, 6, and 1 respectively, and therefore are similarly rejected.

Regarding claim 22, which depends on claim 19, Mahoney teaches a selection user interface with selection elements for identifying set of features for search and further processing based upon a request by an application program selection request (col.8, lines 1-50, col.16, lines 47-col.17, line 67, col. 34, lines 1-67, fig.6, 9-10, 12-14). Mahoney fails to explicitly disclose:

48.4

Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

Art Unit: 2178

digital document to be applied to a processing pipeline. However, Photoimpact teaches an acquire submenu which allows for the acquiring of an image document. The document is scanned and several post-processing functions—pipelines- are performed on different parts or sections of the document, such as the removal of excess blank space in the image document using an "Auto-crop" feature—pipeline-, eliminating interference patterns from a photo in the document using "Auto-remove moiré" feature—pipeline-etc. (page 163, lines 3-30, page 165, post proc. options). However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Mahoney, and Photoimpact, and have identified one of the pipelines, because this would provide the benefit of automatically enhancing the image to correct basic image problems using powerful enhancement features (page 165, post proc. options).

Claim 23 is directed towards a computer system for implementing the system found in claim 22, and therefore is similarly rejected.

Claim 24 is directed towards a method for implementing the system found in claims 22, and therefore is similarly rejected.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-2, 5-8, 11-14, and 17-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Regarding claim 1, applicant indicates that the combination of Mahoney and Venable fails to explicitly show the selection of a

Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

Art Unit: 2178

pipeline identifier for each region, and identifying a processing pipeline out of the many pipelines, to process the selected region (page8, lines 30-36). The applicant is directed towards the new rejections above, in light of this newly introduced amendment to the claims.

Regarding claims 7, and 13, the applicant notes that these claims contain similar amendments to those in claim 1, therefore the rejections should be withdrawn (page9, lines 4-6). The rejections have been withdrawn as necessitated by the new amendment. However, applicant is directed towards the new rejections above, in light of this newly introduced amendment to the claims.

Regarding claims 2, 5-6, 8, 11-12, 14, and 17-24, the applicant notes that these claims contain similar amendments to those in claims 1, 7, and 13, due to their dependency status, therefore the rejections should be withdrawn (page9, lines 4-6). The rejections have been withdrawn as necessitated by the new amendment. However, applicant is directed towards the new rejections above, in light of this newly introduced amendment to the claims.

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Simske et al. (Pat. # 6,674,901), and Mullin (Pat. # 6,252,614).
- II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (703) 306-5543. The

Application/Control Number: 09/311,254

.Art Unit: 2178

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

• (703) 703-872-9306, (for all Formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

CESAR B PAULA Patent Examiner Art Unit 2178

Lesan D Paule

4/5/04